

Virginia Heart Disease and Stroke Alliance
 Thursday, April 29, 2010 Meeting
 Evaluation Summary

of responses: 20

1. The discussion at our meeting was:

| | | | | |
|----------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 40% | 5 60% |
| Rambling | | | | Focused |

2. In terms of what we accomplished, this meeting was:

| | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 10% | 4 45% | 5 45% |
| Waste of Time | | | | Productive |

3. The pace of our meeting was:

| | | | | |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| 1 | 2 5% | 3 60% | 4 35% | 5 |
| Too Slow | | | | Too Fast |

4. At this meeting, everyone participated in the discussion:

| | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 20% | 4 40% | 5 40% |
| Never | | | | Throughout |

5. The purpose of our meeting was:

| | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 55% | 5 45% |
| Confusing | | | | Clear |

6. Frank discussion of the issues was encouraged by the leadership:

| | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 30% | 5 70% |
| Never | | | | Throughout |

7. Team members were able to openly share their opinion:

| | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 25% | 5 75% |
| Rarely | | | | Frequently |

8. The decision-making process was clear and acceptable:

| | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 40% | 5 60% |
| Never | | | | Throughout |

9. Based on how decisions were made, I feel we are committed to working together as a group:

| | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 35% | 5 65% |
| Rarely | | | | Frequently |

10. My participation was what would be expected of an effective alliance/committee member.

| | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 40% | 5 60% |
| Never | | | | Throughout |

Comments:
